



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,297	05/02/2001	Kiyoshi Kumata	0717-0465P	3865

2292 7590 04/25/2003

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CHANH DUY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2675

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/846,297

Applicant(s)

KUMATA ET AL

Examiner

Chanh Nguyen

Art Unit

2675

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on February 13, 2003 has been entered and considered by examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2675

4. Claims 1-4 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmermann (U.S. Patent No. 5,185,667) in view of Juday et al (U.S. Patent 5,067,019; hereinafter briefly referred to as Juday).

As to claim 1, Zimmermann discloses an omniazimuthal visual system including an optical system (1-2) capable of obtaining an image of 360° view field area therearound and capable of central projection transformation for the image; see column 4, lines 49-59. It is noted that the optical system recited in the claim is well-known in the art to receive an image of 360° view field area (panoramic 360° image) being central projection transformation for the image (i.e. polar coordinate transformed to rectangular coordinate); see column 5, lines 49-55.

Zimmermann teaches an image section (3) for converting the image obtained through the optical system into the image data (see column 3, lines 29-32). Zimmermann teaches that a computer (5-7) including an image transformation (6-7), a display section (display monitor 11) and a display controller (8-10, 12) as recited in the claim; see column 3, lines 25-48. The only thing different between Zimmerman and the claimed invention is that the image transformation section (6-7) of the Zimmermann uses software similar to the related art (Figure 10) of the application to perform the transforming the image data into display data whereas the claimed invention uses hardware such as a buffer memory, an arithmetic/logic circuit, a lookup table and a CPU to perform the transforming the image data.

Juday teaches at least one buffer memory (50, 74, 24, 46) for temporarily storing the image data and the display data, an arithmetic/logic circuit (multiplier 30, 68, adder 42,

72) for performing coordinate transformed into display data , a lookup table of trigonometric function (34, 36) for use in the arithmetic/logic circuit (30, 68, 42, 72), CPU (computer 104) for controlling at least one buffer memory, the arithmetic/logic circuit and the table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the hardware image transformation as taught by Juday to the software image transformation section of prior art admitted by applicant so as to provide a real time remapped image according to any one of a number of different remapping transformations; see column 3, lines 20-46 of Juday.

As to claims 2-3, Juday clearly teaches the transform image data including zoom function (magnification) or pan function for generating a perspective image; see column 14, line 40 through column 15, line 51.

As to claim 4, Juday clearly teaches the arithmetic/logic circuit is being formed only by linear operation circuit (adding or multiplier circuits is linear operation circuit); see column 9, line 18-34 (it is noted that the equation (3) is linear equation).

As to claim 7, transforming the image into digital image data is taught by Zimmermann (see column 3, lines 28-31), even the reference of Juday teaches an analog to digital converter (6).

As to claim 8, the image section (programmable remapper 10) of Juday having expansion PROM (e.g., 142) for storing the image information. This reads on the an image recording section as broad claimed language.

As to claim 9, Juday teaches that "the Address Lookup Table 34 (and the Factor Look-Up Table 36) may be segmented to allow several transforms to be loaded at once;

Art Unit: 2675

see column 7, lines 51-60. Thus the CPU (104) must process in parallel so that several transform can be loaded at once.

As to claims 10-11, the limitations recited in claims 10-11 are met by Zimmermman (see column 5, lines 20-46) and the prior art by Juday. For example, Juday clearly teaches a pan function.

5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmerman in view of Juday as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nobutoshi (JP 06-295333).

As to claims 5, note the discussion of Zimmerman and Juday above, both do not mention a hyperboloidal shape of one sheet of a two sheeted hyperboloid. Nobutoshi teaches the optical system including a hyperboloidal mirror having a hyperboloidal shape of one sheet of a two sheeted hyperboloid (see constitution and see paragraph 0020). Nobutoshi teaches a rotation axis of the hyperboloidal mirror being identical with an optical axis of an imaging lens included in the imaging section and a first principal point of the imaging lens being located at a focal point of the hyperboloidal mirror (see figure 1 and see paragraphs 0019-0028). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to have used a hyperboloidal mirror having a hyperboloidal shape of one sheet of a two sheeted hyperboloid as taught by Nobutoshi so as to reduce distorted picture (see paragraph 01250).

As to claim 6, Nobutoshi clearly teaches first and second mirrors as recited in the claim (see Figure 2 and Figure 7).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In view arguments, the reference of Nobutoshi et al (JP 06-29533) has been added for new ground of rejection. Even though last office action has some minor problems , but applicant seems unhappy of these errors (see remarks, unclear rejection). Examiner deletes the typographical error "prior art admitted by applicant" , and bring the reference Nobutoshi (JP 06-29533) cited in the specification of this instant application to the office action to satisfy applicant requests.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-6603.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Saras, can be reached on (703) 305-9720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 9703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2675

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal
Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

cn

C.Nguyen

April 20, 2003

Chanh Nguyen

CHANH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER